### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

Andrea Roberson-Wade,

Plaintiff,

Case No.:

v.

Hon.

Fannie Mae, a foreign corporation,

Defendants.

PITT McGehee Palmer & Rivers P.C.
Cary S. McGehee (P42318)
Channing Robinson-Holmes (P81698)
Attorneys for Plaintiff
117 W. Fourth Street, Suite 200
Royal Oak, Michigan 48067
(248) 398-9800
cmcgehee@pittlawpc.com
crobinson@pittlawpc.com

### **COMPLAINT AND JURY DEMAND**

Plaintiff, Andrea Roberson-Wade, by and through her attorneys, Pitt, McGehee, Palmer & Rivers P.C., files the following Complaint against Defendant, Fannie Mae ("FM"), and states as follows:

### **JURISDICTION, VENUE AND PARTIES**

The jurisdiction of this Court over this controversy is based on 28
 U.S.C. §1331, to enforce the provisions of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964,

as amended; 42 U.S.C. §2000e-2, and based on diversity of citizenship of the parties under 28 U.S.C. Section 1332(1).

- 2. This Court also has supplemental jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1367 to address the claims brought under the Michigan Elliott-Larsen Civil Rights Act. MCLA § 37. 2201-2202, because it is so related to the federal law claims that they form part of the same case or controversy.
- 3. The venue of this Court over this controversy is based on 28 U.S.C. §1391(d). Defendant Fannie Mae ("FM") is a Washington, D.C. corporation doing business in this judicial district within the meaning of 28 U.S.C. §1391(c) and, accordingly, venue lies in this judicial district.
- 4. Defendant FM was at all times relevant hereto responsible for all personnel decisions related to Plaintiff's employment at FM, including the decision to terminate Plaintiff's employment.
- 5. Defendant is a corporation which transacts business and performs services in the State of Michigan.
- 6. Plaintiff, Andrea Roberson-Wade ("Roberson-Wade"), was employed with Defendant and, at all times relevant to this action, a citizen of the United States.

ì

- 7. Prior to the filing of this lawsuit, Roberson-Wade filed a timely written charge of race and gender discrimination with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC").
- 8. On February 5, 2019, the EEOC issued a notice of right-to-sue letter. Roberson-Wade has filed this action within 90 days of her receipt of the right-to-sue letter. Accordingly, Roberson-Wade has exhausted all administrative remedies prior to the institution of this action. Therefore, this Complaint is properly filed pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §626(d).

#### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 9. Roberson-Wade was hired by FM in April of 2009 as a Portfolio Manager.
- 10. Prior to 2009, Roberson-Wade worked for FM on a full-time contractual basis as a Servicing Manager.
- 11. FM is a publicly traded leading source of financing for mortgage lenders. It has over 7,000 employees and is one of the-largest financial service companies in the nation. In 2017, its net revenue was over \$2.4 Billion.
- 12. As a Servicing Manager IV (Loan Servicing Specialist), Roberson-Wade worked remoting from her home in Michigan, and managed a FM Portfolio assets, consulted with Executive and Senior Servicing Leaders in areas

of servicing, investor reporting, delivery accuracy, and custodial account management, reporting, loss mitigation, and bankruptcy, foreclosure and lender compliance.

- 13. Prior to Roberson-Wade's employment with FM, she had been employed in the mortgage servicing profession for over 10 years
- 14. Throughout her employment with FM, Roberson-Wade had consistently good performance reviews.
  - 15. Roberson-Wade is an African American woman.
- 16. Upon information and belief, Roberson-Wade was one of only a very few African American Service Managers employed within the Company nationwide.
- 17. Upon information and belief, as of January of 2018, 10% or less of FM's Service Managers nationwide were African American.
- 18. Upon information and belief, Roberson-Wade was one of only a very few female Service Managers employed within the Company nationwide.
- 19. Upon information and belief, as of January 2018, 16% or less of FM's Service Managers nationwide were female.

- 20. FM was obligated by federal law to refrain from discriminating against Roberson-Wade because of her status as a woman or because of her race.
- 21. On June 22, 2018, Roberson-Wade was informed by her manager, Vice President and Customer Delivery Team Leader, Jenny Shen, that she was being selected for termination effective July 6, 2018, due to a reduction in force (RIF).
- 22. Approximately, one week before Roberson-Wade was told that she was being selected for termination due to a RIF, FM transferred a Caucasian male, Michael Tussey, into a Service Manager IV position directly reporting to Roberson-Wade's manager, Shen.
- 23. At that time, Tussey was assigned to service the portfolio of another Caucasian male, Robert Cadd because Cadd was not competent to service the portfolio. Cadd also reports to Shen.
- 24. At the time that Roberson-Wade was informed that she would be terminated effective July 6, 2018, four Service Managers reported directly to Shen Al Violanti, Cadd, Tussey and Roberson-Wade. Except for Roberson-Wade, all were Caucasian males.

- 25. FM did not select Tussey, Cadd, or Violanti for termination as a result of the RIF.
- 26. After Roberson-Wade was terminated, Cadd was given Roberson-Wade's portfolio to manage and effectively replaced Roberson-Wade.
- 27. Upon information and belief, Roberson-Wade's performance record for FM was better than that of Cadd, Tussey, and Violanti.
- 28. Roberson-Wade was selected for termination in relation to the 2018 RIF because of her gender and race.
- 29. At the time of her termination from FM, Roberson-Wade was earning an annual salary of approximately \$117,600, along with valuable benefits of employment, including health insurance for Roberson-Wade and her family.

# COUNT I VIOLATION OF TITLE VII OF THE CIVIL RIGHTS ACT RACE DISCRIMINATION

- 30. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations set forth above as if stated in full herein.
- 31. At all times relevant to this action, Defendant was an employer and Plaintiff was an employee under Title VII of the federal Civil Rights Act, 42 U.S.C. 2000(e) et seq.

- 32. At all times relevant to this action, Defendant was prohibited under Title VII from making any employment decisions regarding Plaintiff which were motivated by Plaintiff's race.
- 33. In violation of this duty, Defendant terminated Plaintiff's employment because of her race.
- 34. The reasons provided for Plaintiff's termination were a pretext for race discrimination.
- 35. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's race discrimination, Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer lost wages, and other economic advantages of employment; Plaintiff has and will continue to suffer mental anguish, humiliation, embarrassment, and emotional distress resulting from the discriminatory conduct of the Defendant.

Accordingly, Plaintiff requests the following relief:

- a. An order reinstating Plaintiff to her former position ora comparable position;
- An order awarding Plaintiff economic and emotional damages, both past and future, in an amount she is found to be entitled to;

- c. An order awarding Plaintiff punitive damages as provided for under Title VII;
- d. An order awarding Plaintiff interest, costs, attorney fees and litigation expenses as provided for under Title
   VII;
- e. An order granting Plaintiff such other relief as the court deems just and equitable.

# COUNT II VIOLATION OF TITLE VII OF THE CIVIL RIGHTS ACT GENDER DISCRIMINATION

- 36. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations set forth above as if stated in full herein.
- 37. At all times relevant to this action, Defendant was an employer and Plaintiff was an employee under Title VII of the federal Civil Rights Act, 42 U.S.C. 2000(e) et seq.
- 38. At all times relevant to this action, Defendant was prohibited under Title

  VII from making any employment decisions regarding Plaintiff which

  were motivated by Plaintiff's gender.
- 39. In violation of this duty, Defendant terminated Plaintiff's employment because of her gender.

- 40. The reasons provided for Plaintiff's termination were a pretext for gender discrimination.
- 41. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's gender discrimination,
  Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer lost wages, and other
  economic advantages of employment; Plaintiff has and will continue to
  suffer mental anguish, humiliation, embarrassment, and emotional
  distress resulting from the discriminatory conduct of the Defendant.

  Accordingly, Plaintiff requests the following relief:
  - a. An order reinstating Plaintiff to her former position or
     a comparable position;
  - b. An order awarding Plaintiff economic and emotional damages, both past and future, in an amount she is found to be entitled to:
  - c. An order awarding Plaintiff punitive damages as provided for under Title VII;
  - d. An order awarding Plaintiff interest, costs, attorney fees and litigation expenses as provided for under Title VII;

e. An order granting Plaintiff such other relief as the court deems just and equitable.

### COUNT III VIOLATION OF THE ELLIOTT-LARSEN CIVIL RIGHTS ACT RACE DISCRIMINATION

- 42. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations set forth above as if stated in full herein.
- 43. At all times relevant to this action, Defendant was an employer and Plaintiff was an employee under Michigan Elliott- Larsen Civil Rights Act, MCLA § 37. 2201-2202.
- 44. At all times relevant to this action, Defendant was prohibited under the Elliott-Larsen Civil Rights Act ("ELCRA") from making any employment decisions regarding Plaintiff which were motivated by Plaintiff's race.
- 45. In violation of this duty, Defendant unfairly criticized Plaintiff's performance, disciplined Plaintiff and terminated her employment because of her race.
- 46. The reasons provided for Plaintiff's termination were pretext for race discrimination.
- 47. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's race discrimination,

  Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer lost wages, and other

economic advantages of employment; Plaintiff has and will continue to suffer mental anguish, humiliation, embarrassment, and emotional distress resulting from the discriminatory conduct of the Defendant.

Accordingly, Plaintiff requests the following relief:

- a. An order reinstating Plaintiff to her former position or
   a comparable position;
- An order awarding Plaintiff economic and emotional damages, both past and future, in an amount she is found to be entitled to;
- c. An order awarding Plaintiff interest, costs, attorney fees and litigation expenses as provided for under the ELCRA;
- d. An order granting Plaintiff such other relief as the court deems just and equitable.

# COUNT IV VIOLATION OF THE ELLIOTT-LARSEN CIVIL RIGHTS ACT GENDER DISCRIMINATION

48. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations set forth above as if stated in full herein.

- 49. At all times relevant to this action, Defendant was an employer and Plaintiff was an employee under Michigan Elliott- Larsen Civil Rights Act, MCLA § 37. 2201-2202.
- 50. At all times relevant to this action, Defendant was prohibited under the Elliott-Larsen Civil Rights Act ("ELCRA") from making any employment decisions regarding Plaintiff which were motivated by Plaintiff's gender.
- 51. In violation of this duty, Defendant unfairly criticized Plaintiff's performance, disciplined Plaintiff and terminated her employment because of her gender.
- 52. The reasons provided for Plaintiff's termination were pretext for gender discrimination.
- 53. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's gender discrimination, Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer lost wages, and other economic advantages of employment; Plaintiff has and will continue to suffer mental anguish, humiliation, embarrassment, and emotional distress resulting from the discriminatory conduct of the Defendant.

Accordingly, Plaintiff requests the following relief:

a. An order reinstating Plaintiff to her former position or
 a comparable position;

- An order awarding Plaintiff economic and emotional damages, both past and future, in an amount she is found to be entitled to;
- c. An order awarding Plaintiff interest, costs, attorney fees and litigation expenses as provided for under the ELCRA;
- d. An order granting Plaintiff such other relief as the court deems just and equitable.

Respectfully submitted,

PITT McGEHEE PAMMER & RIVERS, P.C.

By:

Cary S. McGehee (P42318)

Attorney for Plaintiff

117 W. Fourth Street, Ste. 200

Royal Oak, MI 48067

(248) 398-9800

cmcgehee@pittlawpc.com

Dated: May 3, 2019

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

Allulea Robelson Wade	Andrea	Roberson	-Wade
-----------------------	--------	----------	-------

Plaintiff,

Case No.:

v.

Hon.

Fannie Mae, a Washington D.C. corporation,

Defendants.

PITT MCGEHEE PALMER & RIVERS P.C.

Cary S. McGehee (P42318)

Channing Robinson-Holmes (P81698)

Attorneys for Plaintiff

117 W. Fourth Street, Suite 200

Royal Oak, Michigan 48067

(248) 398-9800

cmcgehee@pittlawpc.com

crobinson@pittlawpc.com

### **JURY DEMAND**

Plaintiff herein demands a trial by jury of all issues to the within cause of

action.

PITT, MEGEHEE, PALMER & RIVERS P.C.

By:\_

Cary S. McGehee (P42318)

Attorney for Plaintiff

117 W. Fourth Street, Ste. 200

Royal Oak, MI 48067 (248) 398-9800

cmcgehee@pittlawpc.com

Dated: May 3, 2019

JS 44 (Rev. 11/15)

#### CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS  Andrea Roberson-Wade,			DEFENDANTS						
				Fannie Mae, a foreign corporation,					
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Macomb  (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant  (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.					
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Cary S. McGehee (P42318) 117 W. 4th Street, Ste. 200 Royal Oak, MI 48067 (248) 398-9800				Attorneys (If Known)					
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in C	ne Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPA	L PARTIES			
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	X 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Not a Party)			TF DEF (1 □ 1	Incorporated or Pri of Business In T		PTF   4	DEF
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citiz	en of Another State		Incorporated and P of Business In A		<b>5</b>	ð <b>X</b> 5
	6			en or Subject of a  oreign Country	3 🗆 3	Foreign Nation		□ 6	□ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Or	ıly)		reign county					
CONTRACT  110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise  REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY  310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 441 Voting 442 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	PERSONAL INJUR    365 Personal Injury - Product Liability   367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability   Parsonal Injury Product Liability   368 Asbestos Persona Injury Product Liability   PERSONAL PROPEI   370 Other Fraud   371 Truth in Lending   380 Other Personal Property Damage   385 Property Damage   385 Property Damage   385 Property Damage   510 Motions to Vacate Sentence   530 General   535 Death Penalty Other:   540 Mandamus & Oth   550 Civil Rights   555 Prison Condition   560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	1	DRFEITURE/PENALTY 25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 20 Other  LABOR 10 Fair Labor Standards Act 20 Labor/Management Relations 10 Railway Labor Act 51 Family and Medical Leave Act 20 Other Labor Litigation 20 Employee Retirement Income Security Act  IMMIGRATION 52 Naturalization Application 55 Other Immigration Actions	422 Appe	RTY RIGHTS  rights  tt emark  .SECURITY (1395ff) k Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g)) D Title XVI	375 False C   376 Qui Ta   3729(z   400 State R   410 Antitru   430 Banks   450 Comm   460 Deport   470 Racket   Corrup   480 Consur   490 Cable/S   850 Securii   Exchai   890 Other S   891 Agricu   895 Freedo   Act   896 Arbitra   899 Admin   Act/Re	m (31 US i)) icapportio ist and Banki erce ation eer Influe t Organiz mer Credit Sat TV ices/Comm nge Statutory Itural Acts mm of Infor istrative P view or A v Decision tutionality	nnment ing nneed and ations t nodities/ Actions s datters rmation  Procedure pppeal of
	moved from	Appellate Court		pened Anothe (specify)	er District	☐ 6 Multidistr Litigation			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	) N	2	re filing (	Do not cite jurisdictional sta	tutes unless di	iversity): 42 U	SC § 2000	le-2	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Brief description of ca	nuse: Race/gende	er disci	rimination; Title	VII				
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.			N D	EMAND S CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:  JURY DEMAND: X Yes  No					
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE	0/	1.	DOCKE	ET NUMBER			
DATE		SIGNATURE OF AT	TORN Y	RECORD					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		cy		1/0					
RECEIPT # AM	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUI	OGE		